

Coupling of Completely integrable Systems: The Perturbation Bundle

Benno Fuchssteiner
University of Paderborn
D 4790 Paderborn
Germany

Abstract

We introduce a canonical Lie algebra in the direct sum of vector fields and 1-1-tensors, the perturbation bundle. This Lie algebra is extended to a full tensor structure and it is related to the Lie algebra obtained by coupling linear systems to nonlinear ones. Using Lie algebra isomorphisms from the original structure to the abstract perturbation bundle, new completely integrable systems are obtained. The formalism of Lax pairs is found to be a special case of the new structure.

1 Introduction

The starting point for this paper was the question how a coupling between linear equations and integrable nonlinear ones has to be done such that the resulting two-component flow again is integrable. To avoid misinterpretation, we should mention that this question is nontrivial, even for ordinary differential equations. Otherwise, for example, if all such couplings were integrable then finding explicitly the eigenvectors of the Schrödinger operator, would be possible for all cases where the potential fulfills an integrable ordinary differential equation; this certainly is not the case.

However, in section 4.3 we present a simple and direct method to construct such integrable couplings. The essential point, which makes the underlying construction possible, is that in the direct sum of vector fields and 1-1-tensors (perturbation bundle) we can find two different Lie algebras. One of these, the concrete one, simply results from the vector field Lie algebra of dynamical systems like

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ v_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} K(u) \\ \Omega(u)v \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.1)$$

where Ω is a linear operator on the tangent bundle. The other one, is an abstract algebra, not depending on any differential geometric assumptions, like affine connections which are needed for the concrete algebra. The surprising observation is that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the commuting pairs in both algebras, surprising insofar as both algebras are quite different with respect to the differential geometric set-up needed for their construction.

The correspondence between commuting pairs in both algebras opens the possibility to start from known commuting vector fields, then apply to them Lie algebra homomorphisms in the abstract algebra, and to interpret the resulting commuting pairs in the concrete algebra, thus obtaining commuting pairs of equations like (1.1).

Other applications of the interplay between the two algebras are the existence of embeddings of the usual Viasoro algebras for integrable systems into the perturbation bundle. There the second components are those operators which usually occur in the isospectral-nonisospectral Lax pair formulations for these Viasoro algebras.

Another application, just for the amusement of the reader, is the construction of very many absolutely meaningless Lax pair formulations for arbitrary evolution equations.

In order to carry out all constructions which are needed in the paper, we first have to show that tensor structures can be built up over Lie algebra representations without assuming that these arise from Lie modules; this is done in the first section of the paper.

2 Tensors

First we present the essential requirements needed to build up a *tensor structure*. In the subsequent construction the important point is that we do not need to start from a Lie algebra module. This, although only a slight generalization, will be needed later on when the perturbation bundle is treated.

Let a Lie algebra \mathcal{L} be given, furthermore a vectorspace \mathcal{F} (called *scalar fields*) and a representation of \mathcal{L} acting on \mathcal{F} . That means for each $K \in \mathcal{L}$ we have a linear map $L_K : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ such that the map $K \rightarrow L_K$ is a Lie algebra isomorphism, i.e.

$$L_{K_1}L_{K_2} - L_{K_2}L_{K_1} = L_{[K_1, K_2]} \quad (2.1)$$

for all $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{L}$.

From here we build up the *tensor structure*. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$ then we denote by ∇f the linear map $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ given by

$$\langle \nabla f, K \rangle := L_K f \text{ for all } K \in \mathcal{L} . \quad (2.2)$$

The map ∇f is called the *gradient* of f . The set of gradients we denote by \mathcal{L}^* . The space \mathcal{L}^* separates the elements of \mathcal{L} since $K \rightarrow L_K$, being an isomorphism, is injective. An \mathcal{F} -valued multilinear form T on $(\otimes \mathcal{L})^n \otimes (\otimes \mathcal{L}^*)^r$ is called an n -times covariant and r -times contravariant tensor. Observe that the elements of \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}^* are embedded in the 1-times covariant and 1-times contravariant tensors, respectively.

Now we first extend the maps L_K to maps on \mathcal{L} by defining for all $G \in \mathcal{L}$

$$L_K G := [K, G] . \quad (2.3)$$

For $G^* \in \mathcal{L}^*$ we then define $L_K G^*$ by its action on the elements $G \in \mathcal{L}$

$$\langle L_K G^*, G \rangle := L_K \langle G^*, G \rangle - \langle G^*, L_K G \rangle .$$

This we then extend to suitable maps on all tensors by defining for an arbitrary (n -times covariant and r -times contravariant) tensor T

$$\begin{aligned} L_K(T)(G_1, \dots, G_n, G_1^*, \dots, G_r^*) : &= L_K(T(G_1, \dots, G_n, G_1^*, \dots, G_r^*)) \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^r T(G_1, \dots, G_n, G_1^*, \dots, L_K G_i^*, \dots, G_r^*) \\ &- \sum_{j=1}^n T(G_1, \dots, L_K G_j, \dots, G_n, G_1^*, \dots, G_r^*) \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where G_1^*, \dots, G_r^* and G_1, \dots, G_n are arbitrary elements of \mathcal{L}^* and \mathcal{L} , respectively. This extension fulfills

$$L_{K_1} L_{K_2} - L_{K_2} L_{K_1} = L_{[K_1, K_2]} . \quad (2.5)$$

L_K is said to be the *Lie derivative* with respect to K . Purely covariant tensors are called *forms* (n -forms if n -times covariant). The elements of \mathcal{F} are called *zero-forms*.

Let α be some n -form and $K \in \mathcal{L}$, then by $\alpha \bullet K$ we denote the form where K is inserted as first entry into α . If α is a zero-form then we use the convention $\alpha \bullet K := 0$. Now, we define an *exterior derivative* d on forms by

$$d(0) = 0 \quad (2.6)$$

and for arbitrary n -forms α recursively by

$$(d\alpha) \bullet K := L_K(\alpha) - d(\alpha \bullet K) \text{ for all } K \in \mathcal{L}. \quad (2.7) .$$

This derivative d maps n -forms into $(n + 1)$ -forms. On the elements of \mathcal{F} it coincides with the operation of taking the gradient. d commutes with any Lie derivative and we have

$$d \cdot d = 0 . \quad (2.8)$$

A form α is said to be *closed* if $d\alpha = 0$. Gradients are closed one-forms because of (2.8).

A tensor T is said to be *K -invariant* if $L_K(T) = 0$. Observe that, by (2.7) a closed covariant tensor α is K -invariant if and only if $\alpha \bullet K$ is again closed.

Sometimes it is useful not to consider all possible tensors, but rather a suitable substructure. Therefore, any substructure of the set of all tensors

(i) containing \mathcal{F} , \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}^*

(ii) being closed against the operations \otimes , \bullet as well as against all Lie derivatives and the operations of inserting any variable from \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}^* into elements of this substructure

(iii) and being closed against forming new tensors by taking linear sums of tensors of equal type or by interchanging entries of equal type

is called a *tensor structure* over \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{F} .

For the following considerations we restrict our attention to a suitable tensor structure. The basics of hamiltonian mechanics are:

Consider some antisymmetric linear operator Θ from the one-forms into \mathcal{L} . Define for arbitrary one-forms γ_1, γ_2 the brackets

$$\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\} := L_{(\Theta\gamma_1)}\gamma_2 - L_{(\Theta\gamma_2)}\gamma_1 + d\langle\gamma_1, \Theta\gamma_2\rangle . \quad (2.9)$$

Then $\{ , \}$ defines a Lie algebra structure in the one-forms if and only if for all one-forms γ_1, γ_2 we have

$$\Theta\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\} = [\Theta\gamma_1, \Theta\gamma_2]. \quad (2.10)$$

In that case the $\{ , \}$ are called *Poisson brackets* (with respect to Θ) and Θ is said to be an *implectic operator* (or Poisson operator). For the proof of this crucial fact compare [15].

Observation2.1: (Noethers Theorem) *Let Θ be an implectic operator and*

let $K = \Theta df$. Then $L_K(\Theta\gamma) = \Theta L_K(\gamma)$ for all one-forms γ . In particular: Θ and f are invariant with respect to K .

Proof: Using (2.7) we can rewrite

$$\{\gamma_1, \gamma\} = L_{(\Theta\gamma_1)}\gamma - (d\gamma_1) \bullet (\Theta\gamma). \quad (2.11)$$

Now using (2.10) and (2.11) we find for $\gamma_1 = df$ with (2.8)

$$\begin{aligned} L_K\Theta\gamma &= [K, \Theta\gamma] = [\Theta df, \Theta\gamma] = \Theta\{df, \gamma\} \\ &= \Theta L_K\gamma - (d \cdot df) \bullet (\Theta\gamma) = \Theta L_K\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

This also shows the invariance of Θ . The invariance of f is a trivial consequence of the antisymmetry of Θ . ■

We complete this section by some additional remarks. We observe first that conveniently we can represent 1-1-tensors T as linear operators $\Omega_T : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ via

$$\langle G^*, \Omega_T K \rangle := T(K, G^*) \text{ for all } G^* \in \mathcal{L}^*, K \in \mathcal{L} .$$

Then, in this notation, for the Lie derivative of Ω_T we have

$$L_K(\Omega_T G) = (L_K \Omega_T)G + \Omega_T L_K G \quad (2.12)$$

which yields

$$L_K(\Omega_T) = L_K \circ \Omega_T - \Omega_T \circ L_K . \quad (2.13)$$

This is a special case of the product rule for Lie-derivatives, which, by use of the Jacobi identity, holds in general with respect to arbitrary tensor products. As a consequence we remark that for arbitrary "scalars" ϵ the map $\exp(\epsilon L_K)$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism. Here, for the definition of $\exp(\epsilon L_K)$ we use the application of the Taylor series of the exponential function to arbitrary tensors T

$$\exp(\epsilon L_K)T = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon^n}{n!} L_K^n(T)$$

and we assume that $K \in \mathcal{L}$ is such that this sum converges, which, for example, is the case when the series truncates (master symmetries). Since $\exp(\epsilon L_K)$ is a homomorphism it follows in particular for arbitrary 1-1-tensors Ω and $G \in \mathcal{L}$ that

$$\exp(\epsilon L_K)(\Omega G) = (\exp(\epsilon L_K)(\Omega))(\exp(\epsilon L_K)G) .$$

For the generation of invariant elements in \mathcal{L} the notion of *hereditary operator*¹ ([10],[11] and [24]) is important. A linear $\Phi : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is said to be hereditary if

$$\Phi L_G(\Phi) = L_{\Phi G}(\Phi) \text{ for all } G \in \mathcal{L}. \quad (2.14)$$

The use of this notion is demonstrated by the well-known (see [11])

Theorem 2.2: *If a hereditary Φ is invariant w.r.t. K , i.e. $L_K(\Phi) = 0$, then the Lie algebra generated by the*

$$K_n = \Phi^n K, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.15)$$

is abelian.

Homomorphisms allow to carry over properties like hereditariness, or the implectic property.

Remark 2.3: *Let H be some Lie-algebra homomorphism, then if Φ is hereditary the operator $H(\Phi)$ is again hereditary. Likewise, if Θ is implectic, then $H(\Theta)$ is again implectic.*

At the end of this section we would like to mention a case where dropping the assumption that $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{F})$ must be a Lie module is essential, namely in non-linear quantum mechanics (see [16] for details). Another case is presented in the forthcoming sections.

3 Perturbation tensor bundle

Consider a tensor structure over the Lie algebra \mathcal{L} with scalars \mathcal{F} . Denote by $\mathcal{T}_{(n,m)}$ the set of its n -times covariant and m -times contravariant tensors. Again, as before, the elements Ω of $\mathcal{T}_{(1,1)}$ are considered as linear operators $\Omega : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$. Observe that on the corresponding operator algebra for any Lie derivative L_K the product rule (with respect to operator multiplication) does hold. We introduce direct sums

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}} := \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{T}_{(1,1)}, \quad \hat{\mathcal{F}} := \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{T}_{(1,0)}.$$

In $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ we define a bracket by

$$[(K_1, \Omega_1), (K_2, \Omega_2)] := ([K_1, K_2], L_{K_1}(\Omega_2) - L_{K_2}(\Omega_1) + \Omega_1 \Omega_2 - \Omega_2 \Omega_1) \quad (3.1)$$

¹Using the product rule one easily sees that this is equivalent to the usual definition

$$\Phi^2[A, B] + [\Phi A, \Phi B] = \Phi\{[\Phi A, B] + [A, \Phi B]\} \text{ for all } A, B$$

for $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \in \mathcal{T}_{(1,1)}$. Observe that from $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ we have a one-to-one correspondence

$$(K, \Omega) \rightarrow L_K + \Omega \quad (3.2)$$

into (nonlocal) linear operators on \mathcal{L} and that by this correspondence the second component of the bracket (3.1) goes over into the bracket given by operator commutation. The reason that this is one-to-one comes from the fact that, with respect to the manifold variable, Ω is a local linear operator on \mathcal{L} , whereas L_K is nonlocal; and splitting up linear operators on \mathcal{L} into local and nonlocal parts is a unique operation. Hence, (3.1) must define a Lie product.

On $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ we define a representation of this Lie product by:

$$\hat{L}_{(K,\Omega)}(f, \gamma) := (L_K(f), L_K(\gamma) - \gamma \circ \Omega)$$

where $K \in \mathcal{L}$, $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $\Omega \in \mathcal{T}_{(1,1)}$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{T}_{(1,0)}$. To see that this indeed is a representation of the Lie algebra structure we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{L}_{(K_1,\Omega_1)}\hat{L}_{(K_2,\Omega_2)}(f, \gamma) &= (L_{K_1}L_{K_2}(f), L_{K_1}L_{K_2}(\gamma) - L_{K_1}(\gamma \circ \Omega_2) \\ &\quad + \gamma \circ \Omega_2 \circ \Omega_1 - L_{K_2}(\gamma) \circ \Omega_1) \\ &= (L_{K_1}L_{K_2}(f), L_{K_1}L_{K_2}(\gamma) - L_{K_1}(\gamma) \circ \Omega_2 \\ &\quad - L_{K_2}(\gamma) \circ \Omega_1 - \gamma \circ L_{K_1}(\Omega_2) + \gamma \circ \Omega_2 \cdot \Omega_1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\hat{L}_{(K_1,\Omega_1)}\hat{L}_{(K_2,\Omega_2)} - \hat{L}_{(K_2,\Omega_2)}\hat{L}_{(K_1,\Omega_1)} \right) (f, \gamma) \\ &= (L_{[K_1,K_2]}(f), L_{[K_1,K_2]}(\gamma) - \gamma \circ \{L_{K_1}(\Omega_2) - L_{K_2}(\Omega_1) + \Omega_1\Omega_2 - \Omega_2\Omega_1\}) \\ &= \hat{L}_{[(K_1,\Omega_1),(K_2,\Omega_2)]}(f, \gamma) \end{aligned}$$

which shows that \hat{L} defines a Lie-derivative with respect to the Lie algebra structure in $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$.

If now, in the considerations of section 2, we replace $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{F})$ by $(\hat{\mathcal{L}}, \hat{\mathcal{F}})$, then all assumptions which were needed to build up tensor calculus are fulfilled, hence we can build up a suitable tensor structure based on $(\hat{\mathcal{L}}, \hat{\mathcal{F}})$. The corresponding tensor bundle is called the *perturbation bundle*. In this bundle $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_{(n,m)}$ denotes the n -times covariant, m -times contravariant tensors.

We demonstrate that this new tensor bundle, in modification, naturally arises when perturbations of flows are considered. Take some path-connected C^∞ -manifold \mathcal{M} (eventually infinite dimensional). Let on \mathcal{M} an affine connection be given, and assume that this connection has vanishing torsion and

curvature. Denote by ∇_B the *covariant derivative* in direction of the vectorfield B . Let \mathcal{L} now be the Lie algebra of C^∞ -vector fields on \mathcal{M} , and \mathcal{F} shall correspondingly denote the C^∞ -scalar fields. Recall that vanishing torsion means that for all vector fields A, B we have

$$\nabla_A B - \nabla_B A = [A, B]. \quad (3.3)$$

The curvature is defined by (A, B arbitrary vector fields)

$$R(A, B) := \nabla_A \nabla_B - \nabla_B \nabla_A - \nabla_{[A, B]}. \quad (3.4)$$

Since curvature is assumed to vanish, parallel transport of tensors around closed loops leaves them unchanged (see [23]), hence parallel transport from one point of the manifold to another does not depend on the path taken.

Denote by u the manifold variable. If v is a tangent vector at $u_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ then by $\Pi_{(u_0, u)}(v)$ we denote the tangent vector at u obtained by parallel transport of v from u_0 to u . If no confusion can arise we denote $\Pi_{(u_0, u)}(v)$ simply by v . The same notation is chosen for tensors, if $T(u)$ is a tensor field then $\Pi_{(u_0, u)}(T(u_0))$ denotes the parallel transport of $T(u_0)$ from u_0 to u . Again, we simply write $T(u_0)$ instead.

The fields just introduced are exactly the constant tensors, i.e. those tensors having a vanishing covariant derivative.

One should observe that the assumptions on which this geometric situation is based are not too restrictive. Locally, this situation can be established for any manifold, one only has to take a C^∞ -smooth parametrization by a vector space and to define parallel transport by translation in the parameter space.

Now, consider a new manifold $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$ consisting of all pairs (u, v) , where $u \in \mathcal{M}$ and where v is a constant vector field. On this new manifold we consider flows of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ v_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} K(u) \\ \Omega(u)v \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.5)$$

where $K(u)$ is a vector field and $\Omega(u)$ is a 1-1-tensor. These flows are to be understood as two-component systems where a second component has been coupled linearly to the nonlinear flow $u_t = K(u)$. Particular examples are the linearizations (i.e $\Omega(u)v = \nabla_v K$) of the original equation $u_t = K(u)$.

Taking the commutator of the infinitesimal generators of these flows we

obtain

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} K_1(u) \\ \Omega_1(u)v \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} K_2(u) \\ \Omega_2(u)v \end{pmatrix} \right] = \begin{pmatrix} [K_1, K_2] \\ (\nabla_{K_1}(\Omega_2) - \nabla_{K_2}(\Omega_1) + \llbracket \Omega_2, \Omega_1 \rrbracket)v \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.6)$$

where $\llbracket A, B \rrbracket := AB - BA$ denotes operator commutators.

Introducing for vector fields K the 1-1-tensors ∇K defined by $(\nabla K)G := \nabla_G K$ we claim that we can rewrite the right-hand side of (3.6) as

$$\begin{pmatrix} [K_1, K_2] \\ -\llbracket L_{K_1} + \nabla K_1 - \Omega_1, L_{K_2} + \nabla K_2 - \Omega_2 \rrbracket v \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.7)$$

Proof of the claim: By the product rule for covariant derivatives we have

$$\llbracket \nabla K, \Omega \rrbracket = \nabla_K(\Omega).$$

From (3.3) we obtain

$$(L_K + \nabla K)G = \nabla_K G.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & -\llbracket L_{K_1} + \nabla K_1 - \Omega_1, L_{K_2} + \nabla K_2 - \Omega_2 \rrbracket v \\ &= \llbracket \nabla_{K_2} - \Omega_2, \nabla_{K_1} - \Omega_1 \rrbracket v \\ &= (\llbracket \nabla_{K_2}, \nabla_{K_1} \rrbracket - \nabla_{K_2}(\Omega_1) + \nabla_{K_1}(\Omega_2) + \llbracket \Omega_2, \Omega_1 \rrbracket)v \\ &= (\nabla_{[K_2, K_1]} - \nabla_{K_2}(\Omega_1) + \nabla_{K_1}(\Omega_2) + \llbracket \Omega_2, \Omega_1 \rrbracket)v \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Here the last identity came from vanishing curvature (3.4). Since v is constant the term $\nabla_{[K_1, K_2]}$ vanishes and the right-hand side of (3.8) clearly equals the second line of the right side of (3.6). ■

Looking back at the definition (3.1) we find that (3.6) and the Lie algebra (3.1) are related since for $\Omega \rightarrow \nabla K - \Omega$ the second component of (3.7) is, up to a change of sign, equal to the second component of (3.1). We denote this new Lie algebra by $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^T$ and write its elements as

$$\begin{pmatrix} K \\ \Omega \end{pmatrix}$$

instead of (K, Ω) as they were denoted in $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$.

We may summarize now

Observation 3.1: In $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^T$ we have a Lie-algebra defined by

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} K_1 \\ \Omega_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} K_2 \\ \Omega_2 \end{pmatrix} \right] := \begin{pmatrix} [K_1, K_2] \\ \nabla_{K_1}(\Omega_2) - \nabla_{K_2}(\Omega_1) + \llbracket \Omega_2, \Omega_1 \rrbracket \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.9)$$

The Lie algebras in $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^T$ are related in the following way: When

$$\left[\left[\begin{pmatrix} K_1 \\ \Omega_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} K_2 \\ \Omega_2 \end{pmatrix} \right] \right] = \begin{pmatrix} [K_1, K_2] \\ \Omega \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.10)$$

then

$$\left[(K_1, \nabla K_1 - \Omega_1), (K_2, \nabla K_2 - \Omega_2) \right] = ([K_1, K_2], \nabla_{[K_1, K_2]} - \Omega) \quad (3.11)$$

Hence commuting pairs of $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$

$$[(K_1, \Omega_1), (K_2, \Omega_2)] = 0$$

correspond uniquely to commuting pairs in $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^T$

$$\left[\left[\begin{pmatrix} K_1 \\ \nabla K_1 - \Omega_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} K_2 \\ \nabla K_2 - \Omega_2 \end{pmatrix} \right] \right] = 0 .$$

On $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^T$ a representation for $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} , \\ \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ is easily found

$$\tilde{L}_{(\Omega)}^{(K)} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_K(f) \\ \nabla_K(\gamma) + \gamma \circ \Omega \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.12)$$

Only the statement about the representation is not yet proved, but this is exactly the same proof as before, this time based on the fact that the connection is curvature free. Examples for well known notions where implicitly the Lie algebra structure of the perturbation bundle is involved are easily found.

Example 3.2:

(1) Consider in $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ the commuting pair

$$[(K, \nabla K + B), (0, \Lambda)] = 0 \quad (3.13)$$

then by using

$$L_K(\Lambda) = \nabla_K \Lambda + \llbracket \Lambda, \nabla K \rrbracket$$

we see that relation (3.13) is equivalent to

$$\nabla_K \Lambda = \llbracket \Lambda, B \rrbracket$$

hence to (Λ, B) being a Lax pair for $u_t = K(u)$.

(2) By the same argument we see that

$$[(K, 0), (0, \Phi)] = 0 \quad (3.14)$$

is equivalent to $L_K \Phi = 0$ hence to Φ being a recursion operator for $u_t = K(u)$.

(3) Via observation 3.1 we see furthermore that when (Λ, B) is a Lax pair then the flows

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ v_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} K(u) \\ -B(u)v \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ v_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -\Lambda(u)v \end{pmatrix}$$

do commute. This generalizes the well known fact that when v evolves according to $v_t = -B(u)v$ then the spectral decomposition of $v(t)$ with respect to $\Lambda(u(t))$ is independent of time.

4 Applications

4.1 Linearized equations

Obviously the Lie-algebra \mathcal{L} can be embedded isomorphically into any of the perturbation algebras in $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$. However, this fact does not mean that automatically the tensor structure based on $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{F})$ can be embedded isomorphically into $(\hat{\mathcal{L}}, \hat{\mathcal{F}})$.

However, in case of the existence of a torsion-free and curvature-free affine connection we give a simple proof that for the Lie product $[[,]]$ such an embedding indeed can be achieved. To see this we choose a matrix $\epsilon \neq 0$ with $\epsilon^2 = 0$ (say a two-by-two-matrix). Then as coefficients in front of elements from \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{F} we admit linear combinations of the unit matrix I and ϵ . To this new structure $(\mathcal{L}^*, \mathcal{F}^*)$ we extend the Lie-algebra structure, and hence the tensor structure, in the obvious way. Furthermore we embed \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{F} via $K \rightarrow IK, f \rightarrow IK$ into this new tensor structure.

So we may consider the tensor structure $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{F})$ as the ϵ -free substructure of $(\mathcal{L}^*, \mathcal{F}^*)$. We choose now an arbitrary constant vector field v in \mathcal{L} , and we consider the isomorphism $\exp(\epsilon L_v)$. Observe that because of $\epsilon^2 = 0$ all terms higher than first order cancel in the Taylor series of this exponential function. We now consider the isomorphic image of the tensor structure $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{F})$ under $\exp(\epsilon L_v)$. We claim that this is isomorphic to a tensor structure of $(\hat{\mathcal{L}}, \hat{\mathcal{F}})$ (perturbation bundle). To see this we write $\begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix}$ instead of $IA + \epsilon B$. Then

we can write for $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $K \in \mathcal{L}$

$$\exp(\epsilon L_v)K = \begin{pmatrix} K \\ \nabla K \cdot v \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.1)$$

$$\exp(\epsilon L_v)f = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ \langle \nabla f, v \rangle \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.2)$$

and the Lie bracket coming out of that isomorphism is exactly the one considered in (3.6), which if rewritten leads to the Lie-algebra (3.9). Now, in order to identify elements of $(\mathcal{L}^*, \mathcal{F}^*)$ as elements in the tensor structure over $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^T$ we write

$$\begin{pmatrix} f \\ \nabla f \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} K \\ \nabla K \end{pmatrix} \text{ instead of } \begin{pmatrix} f \\ \langle \nabla f, v \rangle \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} K \\ \nabla K v \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using

$$L_{(\exp(\epsilon L_v)K)}(\exp(\epsilon L_v)f) = \exp(\epsilon L_v)(L_K f) \quad (4.3)$$

we find that this rewritten as an element of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{L}_{\begin{pmatrix} K \\ \nabla K \end{pmatrix}} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ \nabla f \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} L_K f \\ \nabla(L_K f) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_K f \\ \nabla(\nabla_K f) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_K f \\ \nabla_K(\nabla f) + \nabla f \circ \nabla K \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

which is a special case of the representation given by (3.12). Using this map we have found

Observation4.1: *All tensors which are invariant under the flow $u_t = K(u)$ are mapped by $\exp(\epsilon L_v)$ onto invariant tensors of*

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ v_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} K(u) \\ \nabla K(u)v \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.5)$$

As a consequence, complete integrability of $u_t = K(u)$ yields complete integrability of the coupling between the original equation and its linearization. These arguments can be applied to any order of perturbation, say n -th order. For this one only has to use instead of ϵ another nilpotent matrix ϵ with $\epsilon^{n-1} \neq 0$ and $\epsilon^n = 0$. Certainly this result is not so surprising, but usually in the literature, a fair amount of computation is needed in order to prove this observation even for special cases (see for example [25] in case of the KdV).

In case $u_t = K(u)$ admits a recursion operator Φ then the corresponding operator for (4.5) is easily found. Application of $\exp(\epsilon L_v)$ yields that

$$\hat{\Phi} = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi & \llbracket \nabla, \Phi \rrbracket \\ 0 & \Phi \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.6)$$

must be that recursion operator. Here $\llbracket \nabla, \Phi \rrbracket$ means the operator mapping each vector field $G \in \mathcal{L}$ onto the linear operator

$$\nabla(\Phi G) - \Phi \nabla(G) . \quad (4.7)$$

In case Φ is hereditary, then $\hat{\Phi}$ has the same property.

4.2 Lax pair hierarchies

As we have seen, the recursion operator of a hierarchy of commuting flows can be understood as a new symmetry (with vanishing first component) for the canonical embedding of the hierarchy into the perturbation bundle. The same viewpoint can be adopted for Lax pairs. However there, not the trivial embedding but a more sophisticated one is needed. Furthermore an affine connection is necessary since all constructions have to be carried out in $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^T$.

We consider a Viasoro algebra of vector fields (i.e. an algebra of symmetries and mastersymmetries, or a hereditary algebra, see [4], and [12] to [14]). The commutation relations of such a Viasoro algebra are

$$[K_n, K_m] = 0 \quad (4.8)$$

$$[\tau_n, K_m] = (m + \rho)K_{n+m} \quad (4.9)$$

$$[\tau_n, \tau_m] = (m - n)\tau_{n+m} \quad (4.10)$$

where ρ is a fixed number (depending on the hierarchy under consideration), and m, n run from either 0 or 1 to infinity.² Let furthermore a Lax pair (Λ, B_1) , say for $u_t = K_1(u)$, be given. Then for almost all completely integrable systems a sequence of related isospectral and nonisospectral equations can be found in the literature (see [3], [5] to [8] and [18] to [21]). Looking at those results, and reformulating them in the purely Lie algebraic setup of this paper, one discovers that there are sequences of operators $A_m, B_n, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left[\left[\begin{pmatrix} K_n \\ A_n \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \Lambda \end{pmatrix} \right] \right] = 0 \quad (4.11)$$

²There are also meaningful cases where the m, n run from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$ (see [27]).

$$\left[\left[\begin{pmatrix} \tau_n \\ B_n \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \Lambda \end{pmatrix} \right] \right] = \Lambda^{n+1} . \quad (4.12)$$

Now, using the Jacobi identity, one discovers that, modulo parts commuting with Λ , these vector fields must fulfill in $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^T$

$$\left[\left[\begin{pmatrix} K_n \\ A_n \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} K_m \\ A_m \end{pmatrix} \right] \right] = 0 \quad (4.13)$$

$$\left[\left[\begin{pmatrix} \tau_n \\ B_n \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} K_m \\ A_m \end{pmatrix} \right] \right] = (m + \rho) \begin{pmatrix} K_{m+n} \\ A_{m+n} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.14)$$

$$\left[\left[\begin{pmatrix} \tau_n \\ B_n \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \tau_m \\ B_m \end{pmatrix} \right] \right] = (m - n) \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{n+m} \\ B_{n+m} \end{pmatrix} . \quad (4.15)$$

Implicitly, almost the same relation can be found in the important paper [20] of Wen-Xiu Ma. Indeed, the uncertainty with respect to the parts commuting with Λ is easily excluded by scaling arguments and thus the relations (4.13) to (4.15) are fully established. Hence we have found an extension of the original Lie algebra to a nontrivial Viasoro algebra in $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^T$. This then gives rise to a new integrable hierarchy, where the $(K_m, A_m)^T$ correspond to the action variables and the $(\tau_m, B_m)^T$ to the angle variables. As a consequence all flows

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ v_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} K_n(u) \\ A_n(u)v \end{pmatrix}$$

do commute, a result which for the case of the KdV was already observed by Degasperis [9] (using spectral methods).

One should observe that having established the Viasoro relations for this algebra, we now have at our disposal a powerful computational tool, since such a Viasoro algebra is finitely generated. Consequently we only need to know the elements

$$\begin{pmatrix} K_1 \\ A_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 \\ B_1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} \tau_2 \\ B_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

then the others are simply computed by recursion

$$(n + \rho)A_{n+1} := \nabla_{\tau_1}(A_n) - \nabla_{K_n}(B_1) + \llbracket A_n, B_1 \rrbracket \quad (4.16)$$

$$(n - 1)B_{n+1} := \nabla_{\tau_1}(B_n) - \nabla_{\tau_n}(B_1) + \llbracket B_n, B_1 \rrbracket . \quad (4.17)$$

Other applications of this kind are possible. So for example, when one equation is obtained by another one via a series of Lie isomorphisms (in the vector field Lie algebra), then the corresponding Lax pairs can be transferred

from the original equation by the same isomorphisms (canonically extended). For example, using the Lie isomorphism derivation of the cylindrical KdV from the KdV (see [17]) one easily obtains the Lax pair formulation for the cylindrical KdV.

4.3 Coupling

Linearizations and isospectral pairs are examples for cases where a linear field has been coupled integrably to a nonlinear integrable evolution equation.

This can be generalized: Consider a sequence K_n of commuting vector fields. Embed these isomorphically by

$$K_n \rightarrow \hat{K}_n := (K_n, 0)$$

into $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$. They again do commute. Now, we take an arbitrary element in $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ of the form

$$H = (0, \Omega)$$

and we apply the Lie algebra isomorphism $\exp(-\lambda \hat{L}_H)$ to the algebra generated by the \hat{K}_n . The result again is a commuting algebra. The interesting point about this isomorphism is that its application does not change the local part because the first component of H is equal to zero. This we see from the formula

$$\exp(-\lambda \hat{L}_H)(A, 0) = \left(A, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \lambda^n (-ad\Omega)^{n-1} (\nabla_A(\Omega) + \llbracket \Omega, \nabla A \rrbracket) \right) \quad (4.18)$$

where

$$ad\Omega(B) := \llbracket \Omega, B \rrbracket .$$

Of course, in order to avoid convergence difficulties we should restrict our attention to those Ω such that the series in (4.18) truncates. Now we use the one-to-one correspondence between commuting pairs of $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^T$ to see that all the flows

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ v_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} K_n(u) \\ \nabla K_n - \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \lambda^n (-ad\Omega)^{n-1} (\nabla_{K_n}(\Omega) + \llbracket \Omega, \nabla K_n \rrbracket) \right) v \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.19)$$

do commute.

Example 4.2: Take the KdV hierarchy, and take for Ω any polynomial in

u and x (not containing derivatives of u). Then all these series do truncate. For $\Omega = u$ and for $K := u_{xxx} + 6u_x u$ we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \lambda^n (-ad\Omega)^{n-1} (\nabla_K(\Omega) + \llbracket \Omega, \nabla K \rrbracket) = -3\lambda u_x D^2 + (-3\lambda u_{xx} - 6\lambda^2 u_x^2) D - 6\lambda^2 u_x u_{xx} - 6\lambda^3 u_x^3 .$$

Hence, the flow

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ v_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_{xxx} + 6u_x u \\ v_{xxx} + 6(uv)_x + 3\lambda u_x v_{xx} + (3\lambda u_{xx} + 6\lambda^2 u_x^2) v_x + 6\lambda^2 u_x u_{xx} v + 6\lambda^3 u_x^3 v \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.20)$$

belongs to a completely integrable hierarchy. This construction can be continued in many different ways.

In this construction one should observe, that in order to obtain nontrivial pairs, the trick that an isomorphism in $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ (instead of $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^T$) is used, is essential. If instead an isomorphism in $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^T$ is taken, then the pairs obtained are rather trivial because then there is a unique way to relate solutions of $u_t = K(u)$ with those for the two-component system obtained by this procedure.

4.4 Lax pairs galore

In [2] the authors show that there are lots of meaningless Lax pairs. This observation for first order equations was already made in [26] when commenting on [1]. In the sequel, we show how to construct impressively looking Lax pairs, which are nevertheless meaningless.

Consider an arbitrary evolution equation

$$u_t = K(u)$$

where the vector field is supposed to depend on u and arbitrary derivatives of u with respect to x . Then for any differential operator $P = P(x, D)$ which does not depend on u we obviously have

$$\nabla_K P = \llbracket P, 0 \rrbracket$$

i.e.

$$\llbracket (K, 0), (0, P) \rrbracket = 0 . \quad (4.21)$$

Take again

$$H = (0, \Omega)$$

where now H is supposed a multiplication operator depending on x and u , but not on any derivatives of u . Then apply $\exp(-\hat{L}_H)$ to (4.21) to obtain a nontrivial, but nevertheless fake Lax pair. Because of

$$\left\| \left[\left(\begin{array}{c} K \\ \nabla K - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (-ad\Omega)^{n-1} (\nabla_K(\Omega + \llbracket \Omega, \nabla K \rrbracket)) \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \exp(-ad\Omega)P \end{array} \right) \right] \right\| = 0$$

the flow $u_t = K(u)$ must be an isospectral flow for the operator

$$\Lambda = \exp(-ad\Omega)P .$$

Since now this operator really depends on the field variable u it is, for general cases, far from obvious that this Lax formulation is absolutely meaningless. Carrying out the computation for the simple case $P = D^2$ and $\Omega = u$ we find the operator

$$\Lambda = \exp(-ad\Omega)P = D^2 + u_{xx} + 2u_x D + 2u_x^2$$

looking almost like a decent Lax operator. That this really is not the case follows however from the fact that for any $K(u)$ of the above type we find a B such that

$$\nabla_K \Lambda = \llbracket B, \Lambda \rrbracket .$$

Therefore the question by what kind of conditions a Lax formulation is made meaningful deserves some attention.

References

- [1] F.A. Berezin and A.M. Perelomov: *Group theoretical interpretation of the Korteweg-de Vries type equations*, Comm. Math. Phys., 74, p.129-140, 1980
- [2] F. Calogero and C. Nucci : *Lax Pairs galore*, J. Math. Phys., 32, p.72-74, 1991
- [3] W. L. Chan and Yu-kun Zheng: *Non-isospectral variable-coefficient higher-order Korteweg-de Vries equations*, Inverse Problems, 7, p.63-75, 1991
- [4] H.H. Chen and Y. Lee: *A new hierarchy of symmetries for the integrable nonlinear evolution equations*, in: L. Debnath ed., *Advances in nonlinear waves* , Pitman advanced Publishing program, Boston - London - Melbourne, p.233 - 239, 1985

- [5] Chen Dengyuan and Zhang Hangwei: *Lie algebraic structure of the AKNS system*, J. Phys., 24A, p.377-383, 1991
- [6] Y. Cheng: *Integrable systems associated with the Schrödinger spectral problem in the plane*, J. Math. Phys., 32, p.157-162, 1991
- [7] Y. Cheng: *2+1 dimensional integrable hierarchies, Lax operators and relevant algebraic structures*, Research Reports in Nonlinear Physics, eds. Gu Chaohao, Li Yishen, Tu Guizhang; Springer Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg, p.12-22, 1990
- [8] Y. Cheng: *Hierarchies of nonlinear integrable equations and their symmetries in 2+1 dimensions*, Physica D, 46, p.286-294,
- [9] A. Degasperis: *Linear evolution equations associated with isospectral evolutions of differential operators*, University of Rome, preprint n.345, p.1-23, 1983
- [10] B. Fuchssteiner: *Application of Hereditary Symmetries to Nonlinear Evolution equations*, Nonlinear Analysis TMA, 3, p.849-862, 1979
- [11] B. Fuchssteiner: *The Lie algebra structure of Nonlinear Evolution Equations admitting Infinite Dimensional Abelian Symmetry Groups*, Progr. Theor. Phys., 65, p.861-876, 1981
- [12] B. Fuchssteiner: *Mastersymmetries, Higher-order Time-dependent symmetries and conserved Densities of Nonlinear Evolution Equations*, Progr. Theor.Phys., 70, p.1508-1522, 1983
- [13] B. Fuchssteiner and G. Oevel: *Geometry and action-angle variables of multisoliton systems*, Reviews in Mathematical Physics, 1, p.415-479, 1990
- [14] B. Fuchssteiner: *The Tangent Bundle for Multisolitons: Ideal Structure for Completely Integrable Systems*, in: Research reports in Physics - Nonlinear Dynamics, Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, S. Carillo and O. Ragnisco, eds. , p.114-122, 1990
- [15] B. Fuchssteiner: *Hamiltonian structure and Integrability*, in: Nonlinear Systems in the Applied Sciences, Mathematics in Science and Engineering Vol. 185 Academic Press, C. Rogers and W. F. Ames eds., p.211-256, 1991

- [16] B. Fuchssteiner: *An alternate dynamical description of Quantum Systems*, in: Groups and related Topics, Proceedings of the first Max Born Symposium, Wroclaw 1991, R. Gielerak, J. Lukierski and Z. Popowicz eds., Kluwer Academic Publishers, p.165-178, 1992
- [17] B. Fuchssteiner: *Integrable Nonlinear Evolution Equations with time-dependent Coefficients*, preprint, p.1-17, 1992
- [18] Ma Wen-Xiu: *Generators of vector fields and time dependent symmetries of evolution equations*, Science in China, 34, p.769-782, 1991
- [19] Ma Wen-Xiu: *K-symmetries and τ -symmetries and their Lie algebras*, J. Phys. A, 23, p.2707-2716, 1990
- [20] Ma Wen-Xiu: *Lax representations and Lax operator algebras of isospectral and nonisospectral hierarchies of evolution equations*, Inst. Math. Fudan University, Shanghai, preprint, p.1-20, 1991
- [21] Ma Wen-Xiu: *The algebraic structures of isospectral Lax operators and applications to integrable systems*, Inst. Math. Fudan University, Shanghai, preprint, p.1-17, 1991
- [22] Ma Wen-Xiu: *An approach for constructing nonisospectral hierarchies of evolution equations*, Inst. Math. Fudan University, Shanghai, preprint, p.1-9, 1991
- [23] E. Nelson: *Tensor Analysis*, Princeton University Press, Princeton NJ, 1967
- [24] W. Oevel: *A Geometrical approach to Integrable Systems admitting time dependent Invariants*, in: Topics in Soliton Theory and Exactly solvable Nonlinear equations (eds: M. Ablowitz, B. Fuchssteiner, M. Kruskal) World Scientific Publ., Singapore, p.108-124, 1987
- [25] K.M. Tamizhmani and M. Laksmanan: *Complete Integrability of the Korteweg-de Vries equation under Perturbation around its solution: Lie-Bäcklund symmetry approach*, J. Phys., 16A, p.3773-3782, 1983
- [26] G. Wilson: *On a so-called Lax equation of Berezin and Perelomov*, J. Math. Inst. St. Giles Oxford, unpublished note, p.1-2,
- [27] H. Zhang, G. Tu, W. Oevel and B. Fuchssteiner: *Symmetries, Conserved Quantities and Hierarchies for some Lattice Systems with Soliton Structure*, J. Math. Phys., 32, p.1908-1918, 1991